

City Wide parking Review Appendix A Summary of significant issues raised to date				
Issue no	Summary of request/comment or suggestion	Raised by	Officer comments	Risk/Mitigation
1	West Hove new/extended CPZ	Ward members, residents, WPRA. Petition.	Longstanding parking problems, most acute in roads to the east of Wish Park and to the west of Boundary Road.	Displacement - consult on wider area based on agreed boundaries
2	Preston Park 'triangle' bounded by Preston Park Avenue, Preston Drove and Stanford Avenue	Residents and petition	Concerns re displacement from proposed Area J extension.	Further displacement, no natural boundaries. Monitor displacement impact of any future extension of Area J.
3	Parking problems in areas adjacent Amex community stadium	Residents/members	Concerns re match day and event parking. Issue is subject to existing planning conditions and current planning application process	Displacement. Cost issues. May be resolved through legal/planning process.
4	Waiting List issues for existing CPZs/underutilisation of existing zones. Allow cross border or over the border parking	Residents, LATs/scrutiny	As a specific example residents in area M have requested that adjacent seafront parking is shared P&D and/or that Areas M & O merge	If seafront P&D spaces are full could result in congestion/air quality issues. Loss of tourist/visitor parking will have economic impact on seafront. Merging zones generally creates risk of internal commuting. Also not practical where merger candidate is close to/at capacity.
5	Various requests for controlled parking due to difficulties in parking from individual streets in Hove Park ward, roads between Lewes Road/Upper Lewes Road, Hanover & Elm Grove, Bakers' Bottom/Craven Vale, Manor Hill & Whitehawk and in Rottingdean	Residents & ward members	Need to consider all responses over the review period	Displacement/lack of defined area.
6	a) Remove all parking zones altogether or b) have one large zone instead	Scrutiny	a) Removal of the CPZs would require DFT approval and consultation with Sussex Police. There would be a one off cost to remove all infrastructure and the loss of annual revenue. b) Under this proposal the whole city would become a CPZ and residents permits would be valid for all areas. Internal commuting would be allowed.	Major financial risk. Demand management, road safety and access issues. Parking management is a tool to achieve climate change commitments.
7	Viability of light touch schemes	Scrutiny	Light touch schemes have well documented disadvantages. They can be popular with residents but are not well understood and lack flexibility for visitors.	lack of flexibility, displacement, cost issues
8	Verge parking issues	Residents/Scrutiny	No clear pattern has emerged in respect of local demand for restrictions/permissions. Needs majority support in an area to work and should not be considered as an alternative to a CPZ.	Cost & displacement issues. Seek to identify agreed pilot area (s)

9	Can we extend permit hours e.g to 10pm in the City centre? -	Residents/scrutiny	In an effort to improve consistency and reduce confusion the council has been harmonising hours across the city. The current parking enforcement contract operates between 7am and midnight. As yet there has been no apparent demand from the business community and residents in central Hove have expressed concern at these proposals	Displacement to adjacent zones. Problems for residents on waiting lists as they use spaces after controlled hours as spare on street capacity
10	The review of fees & charges and how the impacts will be monitored	Scrutiny/residents	Fees are set within a policy framework which is aimed at managing congestion and encouraging sustainable transport usage. Officers will continue to monitor specific locations/problem areas.	Financial risks. Parking management, capacity/congestion
11	Enforcement issues. Perceived lack of enforcement in outlying areas esp. around schools. Perceived inconsistent enforcement in city centre, esp. Western Road Hove	Various LATS/Resident groups	Campaigns are used to try to change behaviour and the council works closely with headteachers and school liaison officers. Where there is an obstruction PCSOs can enforce. A change to "smarter" enforcement and use of regulation 10 PCNs to target "drive - aways" may help in city centre.	Resource/financial
12	Inconvenience of having to use coins for parking, would like more payment options such as by card or by phone/online.	Scrutiny/residents	The option of this facility is being investigating as part of the tendering process for the parking contract. Additional credit card machines are being introduced	Social exclusion. Continue option of cash payment. Financial risks requires careful cost/benefit analysis
13	Introduce more emmissions based charging	Scrutiny	The council already offers free permits for low emission vehicles. There must be a careful analysis of costs and benefits.	Financial risks
14	Disabled, loading, ambulance and doctors bays do not need to be 24 hour, enabling visitors to park in evenings/Sundays	Scrutiny/Local MPs	Conversion of some loading bays already begun. The needs of doctors' and ambulance bays vary according to type of premises. Disabled bays provided for residents outside their homes have to be 24 hours.	Equalities issues & DDA compliance
15	Can we look at other sources of parking funding e.g. Workplace parking levies	Residents/Scrutiny	There is a lack of available data on the amount of private off street parking	Financial/political.
16	Motorcycles (M/Cs) should be able to park in permit, shared & exclusive P&D bays	MAG/Residents	Council provides free of charge m/c bays in most streets & close to major amenities. Not enough m/c bays in the City centre. MAG raised concerns about trikes and motor cycles with side cars. TRO states not permitted to park in a motor cycle bay and should park in a P&D bay but problem of where to display a P&D ticket. Difficulties in attaching permits to m/cs.	Parking management/capacity