

Subject:	High Street, Portslade – Loading Bay		
Date of Meeting:	25th November 2014		
Report of:	Executive Director Environment, Development & Housing		
Contact Officer:	Name: Charles Field	Tel: 29-3329	
	E-mail: charles.field@brighton-hove.gov.uk		
Wards Affected:	South Portslade		

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND POLICY CONTEXT:

- 1.1 This report addresses the comments, support and objections received to a Traffic Regulation Order proposing a loading bay in High Street, Portslade. The Traffic Order was funded by the Stags Head Public House as they have difficulties with deliveries due to parked cars.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 2.1 The Committee is recommended to (having taken into account of all the duly made representations and objections):

Approve the Brighton & Hove Outer Areas (Waiting, Loading and Parking) and Cycles Lanes Consolidation Order 2013 amendment Order No.* 201*.

3. CONTEXT / BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- 3.1 The Stags Head Public House requested the loading bay due to difficulties with deliveries and funded the site investigation, design and advertising of a Traffic Regulation Order.

4. ANALYSIS & CONSIDERATION OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- 4.1 The alternative option is doing nothing or a re-consultation which would mean the proposals would not be taken forward at this stage and /or re-consulted on. However, it is the recommendation of officers that this proposal proceeds for the reasons outlined within the report.

5. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT & CONSULTATION

- 5.1 The Traffic Regulation Order was advertised between the 14th August 2014 and 4th September 2014.

- 5.2 The Ward Councillors for the areas were consulted, as were the statutory consultees such as the Emergency Services.
- 5.3 A Notice was also erected on street for the 14th August 2004; this included the legal notice, a plan showing the proposal and a statement of reasons in support of the proposals. The Notice was also published in The Argus newspaper on the 14th August 2014. Detailed plans and the order were available to view at Hove Library, Jubilee Library, the Customer Service Centres at Bartholomew House and Hove Town Hall.
- 5.4 The documents were also available to view and to respond to directly on the Council website.
- 5.5 The comments, support and objections are summarised and explained in detail in Appendix A and plans showing the proposals are in Appendix B.
- 5.6 There were 2 items of correspondence received to the proposal which included 1 item of support and 1 objection. The comments / objections are listed in Appendix A.
- 5.7 The first representation supporting the scheme was a nearby public house who outlined the lorries have caused difficulties when pulling up for delivery. This leads to buses being obstructed and customers have difficulty getting into the nearby car park.
- 5.8 The one objection from a local dentist was due to concerns about blue badge holders and elderly people accessing their service. Although the Council appreciates concerns regarding the loss of parking for everyone, in this case there is plenty of parking available close by.

6. CONCLUSION

- 6.1 The recommendation is that this proposal is taken forward due to the reasons outlined in this report.

7. FINANCIAL & OTHER IMPLICATIONS:

Financial implications:

- 7.1 The full cost of advertising the order and having the lining and signing amended will be covered from a fee of £2,000 paid for by the Public House.

Finance officer consulted: Jeff Coates

Date: 21 October 2014

Legal Implications:

- 7.2 The Council regulates traffic by means of orders made under the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984. Procedural requirements require public notice of orders to be given and any person may object to the making of an order. Any unresolved objections to an Order must be considered by the Transport Committee before it can be made.

Equalities Implications:

- 7.3 The proposed measures will be of benefit to many road users.

Sustainability Implications:

- 7.4 The new motorcycle bays will encourage more sustainable methods of transport.

Crime & Disorder Implications:

- 7.5 The proposed amendments to restrictions will not have any implication on the prevention of crime and disorder.

Risk and Opportunity Management Implications:

- 7.6 Any risks will be monitored as part of the overall project management, but none have been identified.

Corporate / Citywide Implications:

- 7.7 There are no implications identified.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Appendices

1. Appendix A – summary of representations received
2. Appendix B - Plans showing the proposals

Documents in Members' Rooms

1. None

Background Documents

1. None

