

Who	Road / Ward	Object / Support	Contents	Comments/Recommendations
Resident	Controlled Zone N – Brunswick & Adelaide	Support	<u>Proposed extension of Motorcycle Bay in Salisbury Road</u> – Support 100% as when the communal waste bins were brought in, Salisbury Road lost one of its motorcycle parking bays.	
Resident	Controlled Zone H - East Brighton	Object	<u>Proposed relocation of Motorcycle Bay in Manor Road</u> – Object to the proposed new location of Motorcycle bay. The reasons are that the current permit holder bay is in constant use. Residents surrounding the proposed motorcycle bay are all car owners and parking a car away from your property will have a high risk of car burglary and vandalism. Having a motorcycle bay close too or in front of your property could create disturbing noise especially during the night. Believe that the location of proposed motorcycle bay is unsuitable and not reasonable, and will not benefit most of the residents.	<u>Motorcycle Bay</u> – This was requested by a resident as the location of current motorcycle bay was not a very safe place as it is at the end of the road and right near the corner so motorbikes can be easily knocked over. Motorcycle bays will also encourage more sustainable methods of transport.
Resident	East Brighton	Support	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines in Manor Hill</u> – Support the double yellow lines as at the moment it's very difficult for cars, buses and long vehicles to move as on both sides vehicles are parked. It will be much better when the double yellow lines are marked.	

Resident	East Brighton	Object	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines in Manor Hill</u> – Strongly Object as since the input of permit parking in this area residents struggle to park close to their homes and if yellow lines are introduced they would simply have nowhere to park. One resident is fortunate enough to have a driveway for their cars, however they possibly could do with a white line to show other car users it is a drive. Residents across the road are mostly elderly and disabled and many of them receive carers 2 or 3 times daily 'where would these guys park'? Feel totally pushed out by this whole parking saga, as unable to benefit from the use of permits and now appear to be pushed out of the area by yellow lines. It is a busy road, but buses and cars have passed without to much trouble for the past year.	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a resident as parking in this location causes an obstruction to cars, buses and larger vehicles. Double yellow lines would make it easier for vehicles to pass.
Resident	East Brighton	Object	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines in Manor Hill</u> – Object to the idea of double yellow lines in Manor This will add to the problem rather than help. Worried about what will happen when/if this happens as there is never any spaces, and would reconsider parking permits being introduced as this will help with the problem. Many other residents around Manor Hill park here, so residents have to contend with Hospital, Cowfold and Maresfield residents so adding double lines will just cause more agro.	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a resident as parking in this location causes an obstruction to cars, buses and larger vehicles. Double yellow lines would make it easier for vehicles to pass.

Resident	Controlled Parking Zone O – Goldsmid	Object	<u>Proposed Disabled Parking Bay outside 24 Cromwell Road, Hove</u> – Object to the creation of a disabled parking bay outside No.24 Cromwell Road for the following reasons 1) All of the houses in this part of Cromwell Road are multiple occupancy, and this disabled parking bay will benefit one resident only, but disadvantage many. 2) This part of Cromwell Road has recently lost 2 parking spaces due to the addition of 2 communal refuse bins, 3) No.20 Cromwell Road has just been converted to a 6 unit multiple occupancy. This will make parking much more difficult then it already is. 4) Parking in this area is already difficult.	<u>Disabled Parking Bay</u> – This was requested by a resident who has been assessed for the disabled bay on mobility grounds.
Resident	Hanover & Elm Grove	Support	<u>Proposed Extension of Motorcycle Bay in Hanover Terrace</u> – Fully Support the extension of Motorcycle bay. Widening the bay by 1 or 2 spaces would actually increase available space for cars to park as well as cutting down on the damages to bikes.	
Resident	Hanover & Elm Grove	Support	<u>Proposed Extension of Motorcycle Bay in Hanover Terrace</u> – In full support of the extension to the parking bay for motorcycles.	
Resident	Hanover & Elm Grove	Support	<u>Proposed Extension to Motorcycle Bay in Hanover Terrace</u> – Complete support to the proposed extension of the Motorcycle Parking Bay in Hanover Terrace.	

Resident	Hanover & Elm Grove	Support	<u>Proposed Extension to Motorcycle Bay in Hanover Terrace</u> – Approve the plan to extend an area of motorcycle parking in Hanover Terrace. It will be a safe place for motorcycles to park.	
Resident	Hanover & Elm Grove	Object	<u>Proposed Extension to Motorcycle Bay in Hanover Terrace</u> – Object to the proposed motorcycle bay extension in Hanover Terrace. Main objection is the noise from a revving bike engine. During the winter the current bike bay is a particular nuisance as people leave their bikes running for a bit before they ride them. This happens often in the early morning before 6am. If you remove a parking space then there will be more competition for parking, making the parking situation more difficult.	<u>Extension to Motorcycle Bay</u> – This was requested by a resident as the bay is always full to over flowing with motorbikes. Motorcycle bays will also encourage more sustainable methods of transport.
Resident	Hanover & Elm Grove	Object	<u>Proposed Extension to Motorcycle Bay in Hanover Terrace</u> – Object to the proposed extension to motorbike bay on Hanover Terrace. Object to the constant disturbance of bikes being started up and left to run while riders get ready to leave. Or worst still if a sports bike owner decides to sit in the bay and rev their bike it is incredibly loud. This has been known to be as early as 5.00am and as late as 1.00am. Another key to consider is that on occasions the dustbin lorry catch one of these bikes as the street is so narrow, they have even ended up on the floor,	<u>Extension to Motorcycle Bay</u> – This was requested by a resident as the bay is always full to over flowing with motorbikes. Motorcycle bays will also encourage more sustainable methods of transport.

			by adding more capacity to this bay this is going to increase the risk of access being obstructed, not to mention damage to the bikes.	
Resident	Hanover & Elm Grove	Object	<u>Proposed Extension to Motorcycle Bay in Hanover Terrace</u> – Object to the proposed extension of the motorbike bay outside 34 and 35 Hanover Terrace. Modern motorbikes seem to require several minutes of idling time before pulling off and there is quite a camber in the road. Also modern bikes have a high pitched engine sound which is particularly disturbing. The noise and fumes are a daily disturbance.	<u>Extension to Motorcycle Bay</u> – This was requested by a resident as the bay is always full to over flowing with motorbikes. Motorcycle bays will also encourage more sustainable methods of transport.
Resident	Hanover & Elm Grove	Object	<u>Proposed Motorcycle Bay in Coleman Street</u> – Oppose this proposal. It would have an adverse effect on the flexibility of the parking situation in this road for the majority of residents and visitors. If there was to be a specific motorcycle space available, it would surely be better at the end of the road where it is more easily accessible and less hindrance. If it is merely to provide convenience to one resident for a reason other than disability then surely this is unfair.	<u>Extension to Motorcycle Bay</u> – This was requested by a resident as there were several motorcycle users in this road. This will be withdrawn from the traffic order due to the request of the resident as many of the other motorcyclists have moved away.
Resident	Hanover & Elm Grove	Support	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines at the Junction of Hartington Road and Brading Road</u> – Support this proposal. There has been a huge increase in parked traffic in this area in the last few years and it is often difficult to see sufficiently well to enter and exit Brading Road Safely.	

Resident	Hove Park	Object	<u>Proposed Removal of Disabled Parking Bay outside 31 Lullington Avenue</u> – Object to the removal of this bay as the resident of this property is suffering from deteriorating health and has submitted an application for disability living allowance. Once this is accepted will advise them to apply for a disabled permit to make access much easier. It seems the removal of the bay whilst health issues are being looked into seems strange. Understand that the Council have received complaints that the disabled bay is there, but these people are not privy to this person's health status and therefore their opinions should carry no weight.	<u>Removal of Disabled Parking Bay</u> – The requirements to applying for a Disabled Parking Bay are that you have a blue badge, you are the driver of the vehicle or the driver lives at the property. The reason this bay is being removed is that the resident does not have a blue badge (they have not applied for one) and the driver of the vehicle does not live at the property.
Resident	Hove Park	Object	<u>Proposed Removal of Disabled Parking Bay outside 31 Lullington Avenue</u> – Object to the removal of the disabled parking bay on the following Grounds: 1) Resident suffers with deteriorating health and in light of these illnesses have been advised to contact the Disability Living Allowance team as it was considered they now fall into the category of being disabled. Still awaiting the decision/outcome. 2) A relative drives them every where as unable to walk long distance.	<u>Removal of Disabled Parking Bay</u> – The requirements to applying for a Disabled Parking Bay are that you have a blue badge, you are the driver of the vehicle or the driver lives at the property. The reason this bay is being removed is that the resident does not have a blue badge (they have not applied for one) and the driver of the vehicle does not live at the property.

Resident	North Portslade	Support	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines in Graham Close</u> – Fully support the proposed parking restriction	
Resident	North Portslade	Support	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines in Graham Close</u> – Fully support these proposed yellow lines	
Resident	North Portslade	Support	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines in Graham Close</u> – When a vehicle is parked in what was originally intended as a turning circle, it is a challenge to manoeuvre without a mishap. Fully Support the proposed restrictions.	
Visitor	North Portslade	Support	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines in Graham Close</u> – Fully support the proposed restriction. If cars are parked at the top of the close in - what must surely have originally been intended as a turning circle only – this makes it extremely difficult to manoeuvre without causing damage to surrounding vehicles.	
Visitor	North Portslade	Support	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines in Graham Close</u> – In favour of this proposed restriction on parking in this corner of Graham Close. The proposal if carried will make it much easier to negotiate this difficult corner.	
Resident	North Portslade	Object	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines in Graham Close</u> - This is a small close with not a lot of parking places for the amount of houses that are here and the plans for double yellow lines to be put in place is absolutely unfair. Although the properties have driveways they are shared and	<u>Double Yellow Lines</u> - The request has come from residents via their Ward Councillor as vehicles are parking in this turning area and causing an obstruction for other

			only fit one car into to the space available. A lot of houses have two cars therefore parking spaces are required. There has never been a problem with cars being parked in the top of the close there is still plenty of space to turn vehicles.	road users.
Resident	North Portslade	Object	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines in Drove Road</u> - Disappointed to see that the three parking spaces currently on Drove Road at the junctions with Southdown Road will be disposed of. These are valuable parking spaces for residents at the bottom of Southdown Avenue. Agree that the unrestricted parking further up Foredown Road causes some difficulties to passing traffic during the daytime but do not feel these parking spaces cause the same difficulties and obstruction. Appreciate if the reasoning of this objection could be considered.	<u>Double Yellow Lines</u> – The request was received from a Ward Councillor to prevent congestion. This road is quite narrow and when vehicles park it is difficult for traffic to pass.
Residents	Queens Park	Support	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines in Richmond Parade</u> – Support the planned double yellow lines.	
Resident	Controlled Parking Zone Z – Regency	Object	<u>Proposed Motorcycle Bay in Upper North Street</u> – Object to the proposal to create a motorcycle parking bay on Upper North Street. The reasons are as follows: 1) There remains an acute shortage of residents car parking spaces on Upper North Street, a situation that will be made worse by the loss of another space. 2) Question the need for a motorcycle bay on this road as	<u>Motorcycle Bay</u> – The request was from a resident in the area, as the nearest Motorcycle bay is in Regent Hill, which is very impractical for parking motorbikes, because the hill is quite steep and is also a wind tunnel, so some motor bikes

			know of no one who has a motorbike or moped. 3) For those visiting the area on motorbikes there is already plenty of space in the motorbike parking at the bottom of Spring Street. 4) Upper North Street, though close to Western Road is a quite residential area which now has quite a number of young families living on it. Motorcycles and mopeds make considerably more noise than cars, particularly when starting up. 5) Brighton and Hove Council consider motorbikes to be environmentally friendly, the majority of motorbikes have engines as large as those in a typical small family car, yet they are almost exclusively used by just one person.	have been blown over. Motorcycle bays will also encourage more sustainable methods of transport.
Resident	Controlled Parking Zone Z – Regency	Object	<u>Proposed Motorcycle Bay in Upper North Street</u> – Object to the proposed change from a car parking space to a motorcycle space on Upper North Street. I object for the following reasons: 1) There are already insufficient parking spaces in the street. Very few houses have driveways so residents rely on on-street parking. It is already very difficult to find a space and this will make it even harder. 2) There are motorcycle spaces close by on Spring Street. 3) Noise – the proposed parking space is next to the already noisy Windmill pub. The prospect of customers firing up motorbikes would be an additional noise nuisance.	<u>Motorcycle Bay</u> – The request was from a resident in the area as the nearest is in Regent Hill, which is very impractical for parking motorbikes, because the hill is quite steep and is also a wind tunnel, so some motor bikes have been blown over. Motorcycle bays will also encourage more sustainable methods of transport.

Resident	Rottingdean Coastal	Support	<u>Proposed Extension to Double yellow lines in Challoners Close</u> – Support this proposal as the existing lines are not long enough to keep the corner free from obstruction	
Resident	Rottingdean Coastal	Support	<u>Proposed Extension to Double yellow lines in Challoners Close</u> – Support the proposal to extend the existing double yellow lines. Experienced many missed refuse and recycling collections as a result of inconsiderate and irresponsible parking at the bottom of the road.	
Roedean Residents Association	Rottingdean Coastal	Support	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines in Roedean Road, Roedean Crescent, Cliff Approach, Cliff Road and The Cliff</u> – Support all these proposals	
Resident	St Peters & North Laine	Support	<u>Proposed Removal of Single Yellow Lines in Upper Lewes Road</u> – Looks like a really sensible solution to what has become a real problem locally	
Resident	St Peters & North Laine	Support	<u>Proposed Removal of Single Yellow Lines in Upper Lewes Road</u> – Fully Support this proposal.	
Resident	Controlled Zone Y – St Peters & North Laine	Support	<u>Proposed Motorcycle Bay in Stroudley Road</u> – Fully support the proposal	
Resident	Controlled Zone Y – St Peters &	Object	<u>Proposed Motorcycle Bay in Stroudley Road</u> – Understand a motorcycle bay is proposed for Stroudley Road. Motorcycle bays, unlike	<u>Motorcycle Bay</u> – The request was from a worker in the area as there are no motorcycle

	North Laine		motorcars make a lot of noise coming and going day and night. The bedrooms of the properties in Stroudley Road are all built in the front which makes the proposal of a motorcycle bay a huge nuisance and annoyance to all concerned. Would suggest that the bay is put near the station where all the other transport and parking arrangements are situated, and not in a residential street.	bays within the vicinity only disabled parking bays. Motorcycle bays will also encourage more sustainable methods of transport.
Resident	Controlled Zone Y – St Peters & North Laine	Object	<u>Proposed Motorcycle Bay in Stroudley Road</u> – Concerns about the increase in traffic, increase risk to the Bellerby's students and noise which will be caused by the proposal.	<u>Motorcycle Bay</u> – The request was from a worker in the area as there are no motorcycle bays within the vicinity only disabled parking bays. Motorcycle bays will also encourage more sustainable methods of transport
Resident	Controlled Zone Y & St Peters & North Laine	Object	<u>Proposed Motorcycle Bay in Stroudley Road</u> – Object to the placement of motorcycle bays outside Nos. 30 & 32 Stroudley Road. This is a relatively new street and considered to be Residential. Great Progress has been made with the Language School, Juries Inn and the CCK Church, in terms of nuisance and noise issues. A motorcycle bay on this side of Stroudley Road is a major step backwards in this respect.	<u>Motorcycle Bay</u> – The request was from a worker in the area as there are no motorcycle bays within the vicinity only disabled parking bays. Motorcycle bays will also encourage more sustainable methods of transport

Resident	Controlled Zone Y & St Peters & North Laine	Object	<u>Proposed Motorcycle Bay in Stroudley Road</u> – Object to this proposal. The noise from students returning to Bellerby's College at night is bad enough. To add the noise of motorcycles coming and going all day would be unbearable. Sound echo all along Stroudley Road and this proposal would create a very definite nuisance.	<u>Motorcycle Bay</u> – The request was from a worker in the area as there are no motorcycle bays within the vicinity only disabled parking bays. Motorcycle bays will also encourage more sustainable methods of transport
Resident	Controlled Zone Y & St Peters & North Laine	Object	<u>Proposed Motorcycle Bay in Stroudley Road</u> – Object to the proposal. The noise from motorcycles can be much louder and more disturbing than the noise of parking cars. The heights of the college and house on Stroudley Road results in noise being amplified as it bounces off the buildings. There is already available free parking for motorcycles at the Brighton Station car park, would provide motorcycle owners with better protection from the elements and more security due to the presence of cameras and more foot traffic. If an additional space is necessary, please locate it on the western side of Bellerbys college, as there is less likelihood of the college users being disturbed by noise at late hours, and equally there is much less foot traffic on that side of the college, that the risk of a road traffic accident would be considerably lower than the residential side, which has more children and elderly	<u>Motorcycle Bay</u> – The request was from a worker in the area as there are no motorcycle bays within the vicinity only disabled parking bays. Motorcycle bays will also encourage more sustainable methods of transport.

			persons.	
Resident	Controlled Zone Y & St Peters & North Laine	Object	<u>Proposed Motorcycle Bay in Stroudley Road</u> – Object to the proposed motorcycle parking in Stroudley Road as this will present problems: The noise created could be considerable and would be most disturbing for residents. Occasionally motor bikes do pass, and the noise is great. If there is a parking bay, then there will be more motor bikes on the road, probably racing down and round the back of the college, doing a circuit of Stroudley Road, and then coming down Billinton Way. This noise will be unpredictable, and highly disturbing. It does not seem fair that this extra noise should be made in a residential street. There will also be significant safety issues. The roads around here are already becoming much busier and more hazardous, and having motor bikes using the street would add to the issues of safety. Crossing Stroudley Road could become tricky and also Billinton Way. This request does not even seem necessary as there is parking for motorbikes at the station. Know that motor bikes are a more sustainable	<u>Motorcycle Bay</u> – The request was from a worker in the area as there are no motorcycle bays within the vicinity only disabled parking bays. Motorcycle bays will also encourage more sustainable methods of transport.

			form of transport than cars, but motorcycles are far noisier than cars and also create more concerns about safe crossing of roads, as they are almost invariably driven faster than cars and rapidly accelerated.	
Road Safety Team	South Portslade	Object	<u>Proposed Change to Parking Layout in Victoria Road (Swapping the parking to the north side and the single yellow lines to the south side)</u> – Currently working on a Safer Routes to School scheme in Victoria Road. The team will be improving the roundabout at the junction of Trafalgar/Victoria Road in order to encourage children to walk on the north side of Victoria Road in order to get to Benfield School. Currently parents who have children at both St Peters and Benfield or live south of Victoria Road/Bampfild St walk up Trafalgar and cross into Victoria Road. It is ludicrous for us to encourage parents to walk on the north side of Victoria if parking will flip from one side of the road to the other.	<u>Change to Parking in Victoria Road</u> The request was received from a Ward Councillor and was accompanied by a petition of 57 signatures supporting Swapping the parking to the north side of the road and the single yellow lines to the south side. This would increase the overall parking capacity for local residents and visitors to Victoria Park.
Resident	South Portslade	Object	<u>Proposed Change to Parking Layout in Victoria Road (Swapping the parking to the north side and the single yellow lines to the south side)</u> – Objection & Observations as follows: 1) No front garden so park on the south side like many of the residents. 2) Parking bays on south side are used night and day. 3) By putting the parking	<u>Change to Parking in Victoria Road</u> The request was received from a Ward Councillor and was accompanied by a petition of 57 signatures supporting Swapping the parking to the north side of the

			bays on the north side and single yellow line on the south side will increase vandalism, increase car damage from overhanging trees and affect the safe use by school children. The changes would also mean crossing a busy road more frequently.	road and the single yellow lines to the south side. This would increase the overall parking capacity for local residents and visitors to Victoria Park.
Resident	South Portslade	Object	<u>Proposed Change to Parking Layout in Victoria Road (Swapping the parking to the north and the single yellow lines to the south side)</u> – Object to the proposed parking changes in Victoria Road. The objections are as follows: 1) A danger crossing the road late at night or in winter darkness with traffic to get to your property. Also getting out of the car surrounded by the darkness of the park in winter or late at night would be very intimidating for women on their own. 2) It would be very dangerous for young children trying to cross the road to get back to their houses. 3) The darkness of the park would shield the people tampering with residents cars. People are more likely to do damage to residents cars if parked on the other side. 4) There is never a patrol by Traffic Wardens or Police to enforce the parking restriction as it stands now, especially at the weekend when football or Cricket is being played in the park. Cars park virtually the whole length of the park side, sometimes mounting the pavement,	<u>Change to Parking in Victoria Road</u> The request was received from a Ward Councillor and was accompanied by a petition of 57 signatures supporting Swapping the parking to the north side of the road and the single yellow lines to the south side. This would increase the overall parking capacity for local residents and visitors to Victoria Park.

			blocking the pedestrians/prams/wheelchairs user, forcing them into the road. 5) If people park on both sides (legally or otherwise), the road is not wide enough for traffic each way and could block the emergency services. 6) The Council fails to maintain the trees within the park; this is going to cause more damage to residents cars through tree sap. Residents constantly have to duck to walk under the pathway avoiding the trees.	
Resident	South Portslade	Object (Petition 47 signatures)	<u>Proposed Change to Parking Layout in Victoria Road (Swapping the parking to the north and the single yellow lines to the south side)</u> – Residents in opposition to parking amendments proposed: as outlined below: 1) No provision has been made for safe pedestrian crossing points, as the area has an incredibly high footfall of infants to four schools in the immediate area. 2) Victoria Park accommodates a children's play area, is heavily accessed by parents and infants, children's sporting activities, afterschool and weekend sporting clubs, no provision has been made for safe crossing areas. 3) The amendment to single yellow lines outside residential homes (south side) does not facilitate ease of safe, entry and exit into homes. 4) The amendment to single yellow lines outside	<u>Change to Parking in Victoria Road</u> The request was received from a Ward Councillor and was accompanied by a petition of 57 signatures supporting Swapping the parking to the north side of the road and the single yellow lines to the south side. This would increase the overall parking capacity for local residents and visitors to Victoria Park.

			residential homes, many of these are occupied by owners with small infants whom do not have a driveway and park their vehicles outside their home, they will have to accommodate crossing a busy road with No safe crossing point and No residential parking provision. 5) This road is of industrial class as it accommodates overuse of HGV vehicles that service the industrialised sites, alongside a routine weekend bus route that facilitates a temporary train service. 6) Traffic is pushed closer to the residencies of Victoria Road; speed bumps pose a noise issue, making this of prevalence and observance. 7) No provision has been made for residential parking and No restriction times to parking on the north side is proposed. The area is heavily industrialised by car dealerships who will unquestionably abuse 'open parking' to facilitate freeing commercial space for own commercial gain not for the proposals purpose of easing congestion to the perimeters of the immediate area.	
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed extension to Double yellow lines in Friar Road</u> – Object to this proposal. Already have difficulty parking because the teachers from Varndean School and Employees from Little Earthworms Nursery, park in this road. This is a direct result of the last lot of parking restriction placed in Ditchling Road. The restriction just	<u>Extension to Double yellow lines</u> - The request was from a resident in the area as cars parked at this junction making it dangerous for motorist, especially those turning left into Friar Road from Ditchling Road.

			pushed everyone around the corner into Friar Road and made the situation worse. If the yellow lines are extended: 1. Non residents who park here for work will simply park a bit further down Friar Road and this could make the situation worse as the road narrows. 2) Both corners of Friar Road/Ditchling Road already have double yellow lines and parents use these to drop off children right at the corner, which is extremely dangerous. In fact extending the yellow lines will make it easier for even more parents to do this as there will be no parked cars and, as they do now, these parents will ignore the yellow lines. 3) People who use the park & play area opposite will continue to park in Friar Road exacerbating the situation. The reason given for the proposal is "To prevent obstruction to all road users". Not aware that the legal parking has caused obstruction to all road users. In fact the only thing that does cause obstruction to all road users is the No.56 bus service. The best way to prevent obstruction is to get the bus company to reroute the No.56 bus. Not only is Friar Road far too narrow for a bus and there is no need for the abysmal service the No.56 offers.	This proposal would prevent objection to all road users.
--	--	--	---	---

Resident	Withdean	Support	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines in Hill Drive</u> – Glad to hear of the proposal to place double yellow lines on the corner of Hill Drive and Shirley Drive.	
Resident	Withdean	Support	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> – In favour of the proposed as parking is becoming a significant problem in Matlock Road. By placing 2 hour restrictions would prevent many of the commuters or people working in central Brighton from parking here	
Resident	Withdean	Support	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> – Support the application for restricted parking in Matlock Road. At this end of the road there is a café, chemist, doctor's surgery and a dental surgery, on the opposite side there is a news agent/post office and a general store. Customers/Patients at present find it hard to park and this restriction will certainly ease the situation.	
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> – The latest proposal is to provide parking for the local facilities i.e. the shops and café. As it is the people using the café are taking up any available spaces on Matlock Road on a constant basis, by giving them spaces this is taking spaces from the residents. Why should the café get its own spaces when residents are struggling everyday to park? There is a massive	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time.

			parking problem with commuters parking their cars everyday while there is at least thirty empty spaces around Preston station which they could use, but someone decided to make it a meter zone so no one ever parks there any more.	
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> – Object to the recent proposal to add parking restrictions on Matlock Road that would see the introduction of 2 hourly parking restrictions outside the café and chemist. This proposal is not in the interests of the residents of Matlock Road and its surrounding streets. The parking situation on these roads is already congested and residents don't deserve to have this restriction adding to their existing parking woes. The reason behind this particular proposal has come about because of the wider problems in the area since the council introduced parking restrictions near Preston Park Station. The proposed hours of 8am to 8pm Monday to Sunday are flawed and unfair. The shops and café are not even open for those hours so to even contemplate weekend restrictions is absurd. The doctors and dentist are not even open weekends, the chemist closes at 1pm on Saturday and the other facilities close before 8pm during the week and significantly before 8pm at the weekend.	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time.

Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> –The parking situation for residents in Matlock/Tivoli area is already very difficult. The proposed change will add to these difficulties. There are already time limited spaces outside the convenience stores/Post Office. Any further restrictions will make it even more difficult for residents in the area to park than is already the case.	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time.
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> – Object to the proposed 2hr parking in Matlock Road. Object on the following grounds 1) It will reduce long term parking spaces for local residents, particularly on Saturday and Sunday, when residents are more likely to be at home and wanting to park all day. It is now extremely difficult to park at any time in and around Matlock Road because of displacement parking from nearby roads and this is already likely to increase as Tivoli Crescent is about to be included in a residents parking scheme. 2) Matlock Road is in a residential area and NOT a commercial area. It is therefore unfair that views of commercial enterprise are being given precedence over the view of local residents. 3) The reason given for the proposal is “to provide parking for nearby facilities”. However such parking is unnecessary, as there is already a half	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time.

			hour limited parking bay, which takes 4 cars and also two 24 hr bays for disabled people outside the G.P's surgery. 3) The proposal will disadvantage residents but not advantage any shops or facilities except the café. Customers and patients visiting the shops, doctors and dentists do not need to park for a long period of time and all but the newsagents and grocers shop are closed on Saturday afternoon and all day Sunday.	
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> – Object to this proposal. Feel residents should have priority over the commercial needs in this case. The days and times of the proposed restriction are wrong. Monday to Friday from 9am to 5pm is when the commercial facilities operate. These times operate satisfactorily across the road at present.	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time.
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> – Object to the 2hour parking bay proposed outside Abacus Café. Parking in Matlock Road is already difficult enough without the loss of a further 4 parking spaces. The parking restrictions running from 8am to 8pm for 7 days a week are also ludicrous especially as none of the "facilities" for which, the parking is designed are not open all that time. The suggested parking restrictions show scant regard for the local	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time.

			community and a lack of forethought on behalf of the council. With Tivoli Crescent being granted residents parking the situation will become even worse than it currently is. The only facility likely to benefit is the café and the biggest losers will again be the residents of Matlock Road.	
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> – Object to the proposed 15 metres parking bay in Matlock Road on the following grounds: 1) In this residential area there are already both a restricted bay and two general disabled bays, the former of which could be re-designated 2 hours. 2) Residents already find it impossible to park in the road on account of: i) Users of Preston Park Station, Bhasvic Students and people who work on Dyke Road, who park in Matlock Road since nearby resident schemes were introduced and ii) People in nearby resident schemes who own more than one car but only buy one permit, park their vehicles in Matlock Road for extended periods. 3) Most of the businesses in question do not even open on Sunday. Parking problems will be made worse if a further 4 spaces are lost, along with the proposed parking zone in Tivoli Crescent.	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time.

Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> - Extremely concerned about the proposal for limited parking in Matlock Road. The parking in this area is at saturation point and with residents parking soon to be introduced in Tivoli Crescent, there will be even more vehicles trying to park in this road. At present residents have to think carefully about taking the car for journeys at night as it is almost impossible to park when they get back. There is already restricted 2 hour parking outside the post office, and also some disabled bays outside the Doctor's Surgery.	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time.
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> - I object to this proposal for the following reasons: 1) There is no need as no one spends that amount of time in the café. 2) Residents of Matlock Road will have less space to park, already Tivoli Crescent residents park in Matlock Road making it impossible to park as Tivoli Crescent never has spaces, and this has created a knock on effect with Matlock Road bearing the brunt of it. 3) There is half hour parking and disabled bay already why take away precious spaces with limited 2 hour parking.	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time.
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> - Object to the creation of extra 2 hour limited parking spaces in Matlock Road. There is certainly not enough free parking spaces at the	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the

			moment, even before removing an equivalent of a further 4 spaces near to the shops. Resident from nearby controlled zones are already parking long term in Matlock Road and often residents cannot find any spaces within a reasonable walking distance to their properties.	local shops and facilities to park for a limited time.
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> – Express disappointment with the continued incompetent way the council is making decisions about parking in Matlock Road. Parking has become an increasing concern with the irrational way that the council have made decision which impact on parking and purely pushed the parking issue further and further out of town until it impacted Matlock Road. Amazingly with evidence that 90% of residents in Matlock Road want permit parking the council continue to ignore their wishes and see to compound the problem further by appeasing the café business with parking outside that will cause further problems to residents.	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time.
Residents	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> – Object to the proposed 2 hour limited parking for 4 parking spaces in Matlock Road. This will not be a benefit to the local community. The parking in the area has got even worse since the introduction of resident permits in Reigate Road and meters outside the railway station. This has	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time.

			increased parking traffic on Dyke Road, Tivoli Crescent, Matlock and Maldon Road. The local Doctor's and Dentists have sufficient short term and disabled parking. The Newsagent, Matlock Stores and Café's trade is in the main foot traffic. Over 90% of Matlock Road residents have petitioned for a permit parking scheme, which has for some reason been ignored.	
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> - Complete and utter objection to the proposed new 2 hour parking bay in Matlock Road. There is already half-hour and disabled parking bays. A further reduction in the number of parking spaces would cause great inconvenience to almost all residents in Matlock Road. Do not believe that the views of any commercial enterprise should be given precedence over the views of local residents.	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time.
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> - Object to the proposal to create a limited 2 hour parking bay outside the café. Feel very strongly that this will very much be to the detriment of residents living in the road. Parking in Matlock and Maldon Road is already at bursting point and residents struggle to park their cars in the road. To create a further restricted parking area, where there are also residents living in flats above the shops who have cars and wish to	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time.

			park in the road will only continue to exacerbate the problem further. As there are no parking restrictions in Matlock Road, visitors to the shops, services and café can park freely in the adjacent roads and surely do not need more dedicated parking. Also object to the timeframe requested (8am -8pm 7 days per week) as the only businesses open on the weekend are the chemist and beauticians, which open until lunchtime on a Saturday and then remain closed until Monday/Tuesday and then the newsagents/post office and convenience store, which open all day Saturday and a half day on Sunday. Although the café advertises that it is open both Saturday and Sunday, quite often it is closed a lot earlier than advertised. In any case the parking problems are normally eased a little on Saturday afternoon and Sunday in the road (compared to weekdays).	
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> – Object to the proposed parking bay in Matlock Road. It is nigh on impossible to find a parking space in the street, a situation that has risen over recent years because of the introduction of parking schemes in nearby streets. The proposal is to be in operation from 8am to 8pm, 7 days a week. This is not a fair reflection of the opening times of any of the businesses in Matlock Road	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time.

			and will be a source of great frustration to residents arriving home from work trying to park in the street. The shop facilities are already adequately served with parking bays and disabled bays.	
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> – Strongly Object to the proposed 4 parking spaces in Matlock Road operating 7 days a week from 8am to 8pm. This area should be allocated to residents, not allowing the café to acquire 4 parking spaces. There are already disabled parking outside the surgery and 30 minute parking outside the Post Office. Any visitors arriving in cars should find whatever parking spaces there are, if they can find one, not the residents having to give up spaces for the cafes clients.	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time.
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> – Object to the planned 2 hour parking bay on Matlock Road. There has been a considerable increase in permit only parking in surrounding roads. This further restriction will only make matters worse. Illegal parking on double yellow lines at junctions, double parking etc. are already a danger to local residents and this measure will only further increase the road safety problems in this very busy area. The planned bay is poorly conceived with limited understanding	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time.

			of the parking issues already present in Matlock Road. There are already half hour and disabled bays providing adequate service for doctors, chemist, and shops.	
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> - Object to the planned 2 hour parking on Matlock Road. There has been a considerable increase in permit only parking in surrounding roads. This further restriction will only make matters worse. Illegal parking on double yellow lines at junctions, double parking etc. are already a danger to local residents and this measure will only further increase the road safety problems in this very busy area. The planned bay is poorly conceived with limited understanding of the parking issues already present in Matlock Road. There are already half hour and disabled bays providing adequate service for doctors, chemist, and shops.	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time.
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> – Object to the proposed parking bay outside the café on Matlock Road. Residents of Matlock Road are already experiencing horrendous parking problems due to the parking restrictions brought into effect in nearby street. There are already parking restriction in force near the cafe, two disabled parking bays and half hour restrictions outside the post office and shop.	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time

Ward Councilors	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> – Oppose the proposed limited waiting in Matlock Road. This road is made up of mainly terraced houses and currently residents experience very great difficulties when trying to drop off passengers or shopping neat to their homes. Parking has become almost impossible for residents in this road. Any further provision of limited waiting will only exacerbate existing problems.	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> – Object against the creation of approximately 4 parking spaces in Matlock Road to be operational 7 days per week from 8am to 8pm. There are parking problems in this particular area and road. There is already disabled parking out side the surgery, 30 minute parking spaces outside Matlock Road Post office in addition to which the Council has already approved parking restrictions coming into effect in nearby Tivoli Crescent, but totally ignoring residents wishes in both in Matlock Road and Maldon Road. Residents will not be involved in this scheme merely being squeezed out from their own roads. Where are they supposed to park?	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time
Residents	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> – Object to the request for parking bay to be installed in Matlock Road. Residents in Matlock	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable

			Road already have difficulties finding parking spaces. This has been cause by the previous ineffectual decision to introduce parking permit near Preston Park station, which has had a ripple effect on the surrounding street. Instead of commuters paying to park by Preston Park Station they now park in Tivoli Crescent, so residents in that street then park in Matlock Road. Tivoli Crescent has now reached an agreement with the Council to have permit parking, something that was denied to residents in Matlock Road despite a petition being submitted with overwhelming support. To effectively remove 4 further parking spaces seems totally unnecessary when there are already half hour and disabled bays available for the limited number of commercial properties on the road.	visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> – Object to the parking bay on Matlock Road. Everything is just fine as it is.	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the local shops and facilities to park for a limited time
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> – Residents really struggle to park due to numerous cars being parked in Matlock Road by commuters who use Preston Park station and	<u>Limited Waiting in Matlock Road</u> This was requested by a business in the area to enable visitors and customers using the

			residents of adjacent street. Please think very carefully before making things even more difficult.	local shops and facilities to park for a limited time
Residents Association	Withdean	Object (Petition 43 signatures)	<u>Proposed double yellow lines in the access road to Kingsmere, London Road</u> – Object to the proposed double yellow lines. If yellow lines were to be installed, the direct result would be a loss of spaces.	<u>Double yellow lines in Kingsmere, London Road</u> – This was requested by City Clean as the refuse vehicles are having problems gaining access to the bin stores because of parked cars on the bend near the entrance.
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed double yellow lines in Tongdean Rise</u> – Concerned that the double yellow lines will cause parking problems for residents of Tongdean Rise and their guests, especially as Tongdean Lane residents park along this road on a daily basis due to having no on-street parking. Object to the yellow lines and insist that if the road needs to be widened for larger vehicles, that some of the grass verge is removed instead of imposing the parking restriction	<u>Double yellow lines in Tongdean Rise</u> – This was requested by City Clean as refuse vehicles were having difficulties entering this section of Tongdean Rise. This has been withdrawn from the order as the location on the advertised plan and description on the Traffic Order was incorrect. This proposal will be re-advertised in the November 2011 order and the objections and support received for this order will be included in that report. Residents who have written in have been informed of this.

Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed double yellow lines in Tongdean Rise</u> – Object in the strongest possible terms to this proposed change and must insist that yellow lines are not painted. This part of Tongdean Rise is a narrow residential cul de sac with a total of 13 houses on this section of the road which has sufficient width for two passing vehicles. There is no “passing traffic” as such, as the road is only used to access these houses. At times, as is the case in many narrow roads, large delivery vehicles have difficulty negotiating access, and the size of the council refuse and recycling vehicles means that they also have to be careful when carrying out their collections. These issues are inherent in what is a small residential access road, and have existed since the road was developed. The residents of Tongdean Rise have always accepted the restrictions of the road and enjoy the tranquillity and freedom from through traffic here.	<u>Double yellow lines in Tongdean Rise</u> – This was requested by City Clean as refuse vehicles were having difficulties entering this section of Tongdean Rise. This has been withdrawn from the order as the location on the advertised plan and description on the Traffic Order was incorrect. This proposal will be re-advertised in the November 2011 order and the objections and support received for this order will be included in that report. Residents who have written in have been informed of this.
Resident	Withdean	Object	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines in Tongdean Rise</u> – It was agreed that the road would be clear of vehicles in this section of the road on Mondays (Tuesdays if it is a Bank Holiday) or a narrower dustcart could be used following a meet in December 2005. As this is an access only road there is no through traffic and only residents come into the rise. Residents of Tongdean Lane	<u>Double yellow lines in Tongdean Rise</u> – This was requested by City Clean as refuse vehicles were having difficulties entering this section of Tongdean Rise. This has been withdrawn from the order as the location on the advertised

			also parking up the slip road in the rise so there is a limited parking in this area. Any visitors will also have problems parking if there are double yellow lines as the displaced vehicles will take up the free spaces down the rise. These yellow lines will cause total havoc for the sake of 10 minutes each week. It seems the problem has arisen since new residents moved in and were not aware of how things worked in the area. Now they are aware there is no justification what so ever to penalise everyone in the rise by placing yellow lines in the road.	plan and description on the Traffic Order was incorrect. This proposal will be re-advertised in the November 2011 order and the objections and support received for this order will be included in that report. Residents who have written in have been informed of this.
Resident	Woodingdean	Support	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines at the Junction of Abinger Road and Brownleaf Road</u> - Support this plan.	
Resident	Woodingdean	Object	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines at the junction of Abinger Road and Brownleaf Road</u> – Object to the proposed plans for double yellow lines. Never experienced a problem with or have seen any heavy vehicles including City Clean refuse vehicles experience any difficulty manoeuvring this junction. The Brighton and Hove City Council website state that a TRO (Traffic Regulation Order) is costly and takes time, concerned that costs and time is being spent on problems that do not exist. Aware that the plans have been submitted once before, received written objections and were not accepted. What has	<u>Double yellow lines:</u> - The lines were requested by a resident as cars should not be parking on the junction and these lines would improve safety, pedestrian access and visibility. This proposal was previously advertised in 2009 and was deferred at an Environment Cabinet Member Meeting.

			changed? There have not been any accidents and public safety has not been compromised and heavy vehicles are able to perform their duties without difficulty, due to the reality that there is not a parking issue on Brownleaf Road at the junction of Abinger Road.	
Resident	Woodingdean	Object	<u>Proposed Double yellow lines at the junction of Abinger Road and Brownleaf Road</u> – Object to the proposed double yellow lines. Have never seen any issues with cars parking on the junction/roadsides concerned. Looking at traffic movements at the junction ask that the following be taken into consideration: a) Abinger road is a minor road on the estate and in reality is only used by residents of that road. Never used as a “rat run”. b) Abinger Road and Brownleaf Road have little heavy load traffic and there has never been any problem of vehicles not being able to negotiate the junction safely. If the issue is purely for safety purposes then suggest that what is really needed is a “give way or even a stop line”.	<u>Double yellow lines:</u> - The lines were requested by a resident as cars should not be parking on the junction and these lines would improve safety, pedestrian access and visibility. This proposal was previously advertised in 2009 and was deferred at an Environment Cabinet Member Meeting.
Resident	Woodingdean	Support	<u>Proposed Disabled Parking Bay in Batemans Road</u> – Approve of the Disabled Parking Bay on Batemans Road being made legal.	

