

Wish Park Area Residents Parking Scheme Consultation Consultation Report September 2014

Background

As part of the city wide parking review, the council received comments and complaints from members of the public about parking problems in the Wish Park area. Following an initial letter sent out in November 2013 asking whether or not residents would like to be consulted on a scheme, the area around Wish Park indicated to us they would like to see a proposal for residents parking. Environment, Transport and Sustainability approved consultation on 4th March 2014.

Headline Findings

The consultation achieved a response rate of 44%.

75% of respondents were in favour of a residents parking scheme in the Wish Park Area, and 25% were opposed to a scheme.

Of the respondents who were in favour of the introduction of a scheme, 71.2% wanted to see Option 1- A Light Tough Extension to Area W introduced. 28.8% supported a full Residents Parking Scheme instead.

Methodology

Brighton & Hove City Council Land and Property Gazeteer was used to provide 395 addresses in the Wish Park Area. An information leaflet, detailed maps, a questionnaire and a prepaid envelope for reply was sent to each address. Respondents were invited to complete the survey online via the council's Consultation Portal should they wish to: 11 respondents (6.3%) chose this method.

Plans could also be viewed at a staffed exhibition held at Wish Road Pavilion (6th August 3.30pm - 8.15pm). An unstaffed exhibition was held at Hove Town Hall Customer service centre from 21st July to 29th August, 9am to 5pm.

174 responses¹ were received giving a response rate of 44%.

¹ 6 responses were not included: 2 from outside the area, 1 with no address given, and 3 duplicates. (see appendix)

Results

Q1 Are you in favour of a residents parking scheme extension into your road?

Yes		No		Total
Number	%	Number	%	
126	75.0	42	25.0	168 ²

Results on a street by street basis were as follows:

Street	No. properties mailed	No. responses	Response rate %	Yes		No	
				No.	%	No.	%
Glendor Road	29	20	69.0	16	80.0	4	20.0
Kingsway	94	27	28.7	16	59.3	11	40.7
Marine Avenue	58	28	48.3	23	82.1	5	17.9
New Church Road	84	28	33.3	21	75.0	7	25.0
Norman Road	42	14	33.3	9	64.3	5	35.7
Saxon Road	38	22	57.9	18	81.8	4	18.2
Tandridge Road	19	10	52.6	8	80.0	2	20.0
Tennis Road	31	19	61.3	15	79.0	4	21.0
Total	395	168	42.5	126	75.0	42	25.0

Q2 Which of the following options would you like to see in your area?

	Number	%
Option 1 – Light Touch extension to Area W	89	71.2
Option 2 – Full Residents Parking Scheme	36	28.8
Total	125³	100

² 6 people did not answer this question

³ 1 respondent who supported a scheme did not make a choice between option 1 or 2.

Q3 Respondents were asked whether they are a resident, a business owner or manager or work in the area.

Respondents could tick more than one option.

	Number of responses
Resident	169
Business owner or manager	8
Work in the area	7
Other	1

Q4a How many cars in your household?

No. of cars	Number of responses	Total number of cars
0	18	0
1	84	84
2	56	112
3	4	12
4 or more	2	≥8
Total	164	≥216

164 respondents have 216 cars (1.3 cars per household).

Q4b Do you have access to off-street car parking?

Yes		No		Total
Number	%	Number	%	
69	41.3	98	58.7	167

Q4c How many motorcycles in your household?

No. of Motorcycles	Number of responses	Total number of motorcycles
0	150	0
1	7	7
2	0	0
3	0	0
4 or more	0	0
Total	157	7

Q4d How many pedal cycles in your household?

No. of Pedal Cycles	Number of responses	Total number of pedal cycles
0	62	0
1	24	24
2	38	76
3	14	42
4 or more	21	≥84
Total	159	≥226

Q5a What type of business do you own or manage in the area?

What type of business?	Number of responses
Retail outlet	1
Office-based	5
Other includes: preschool, rest home, interior designer, builder, childminder	6
Total responses	12

Q5b How many vehicles are directly associated with your business?

No. of vehicles	Number of responses	Total number of Vehicles
1	8	8
2	2	4
3	0	0
4 or more	1	4
Total	11	≥16

11 respondents had at least 16 vehicles associated with their business (= 1.4 vehicles per business).

Q6 Any other comments?

An open text box enabled respondents to add comments. Although expressed in residents' own words analysis of the open text shows common themes emerged and have been grouped as follows.

Comments	Number of times made
In favour because of current parking difficulties/ general positive comments	20
Main problem is non-residents long-term parking, lorries/caravans/cars left behind, Light Touch best for dealing with these issues	17
No need for a scheme/ Unnecessary	15
Don't want to pay for parking	12
Needs enforcement of current illegal parking	9
Unhappy about hours of scheme operation	9
General negative comments	8
Not enough residents parking spaces in this scheme/ will need more than one permit	8
This is purely a money making exercise	7
Need other traffic calming/ more creative options/ speed humps	5
Don't want double yellow lines across driveways/ don't want more double yellow lines	5
Want more double yellow lines/ lots of dangerous corners/ bad sighting	4
Concerns that the scheme will adversely affect businesses in the area	3
Concerned about the cost of visitor parking	3

Demographic Information

Some respondents preferred not to answer some, or all, of these questions.

Age	Number	%
25-34	6	5.7
35-44	24	22.6
45-54	26	24.5
55-64	16	15.1
65-74	13	12.3
75+	21	19.8
Total	106	100

Gender	Number	%
Male	54	45.0
Female	66	55.0
Total	120	100

Do you identify as the gender you were assigned at birth?	Number	%
Yes	110	98.2
No	2	1.8
Total	112	100

Ethnicity		Number	%
White	White English/ Welsh/ Scottish/ Northern Irish/ British	113	92.6
	White Irish	1	0.8
	Gypsy or Irish Traveller	0	0.0
	Any other white background	3	2.5
Asian or Asian British	Bangladeshi	0	0.0
	Indian	0	0.0
	Pakistani	0	0.0
	Chinese	0	0.0
	Any other Asian background	2	1.6
Black or Black British	African	1	0.8
	Caribbean	0	0.0
	Any other Black background	1	0.8
Mixed	Asian & White	1	0.8
	Black African & White	0	0.0
	Black Caribbean & White	0	0.0
	Any other mixed background	0	0.0
Any other ethnic group	Arab	0	0.0
	Any other ethnic group	0	0.0
Total		122	100

Sexual orientation	Number	%
Heterosexual/ straight	106	94.6
Lesbian/ Gay woman	2	1.8
Gay man	3	2.7
Bisexual	1	0.9
Other	0	0.0
Total	112	100

Religion or Belief	Number	%
I have no particular religion	46	41.1
Buddhist	2	1.8
Christian	49	43.7
Hindu	2	1.8
Jain	0	0.0
Jewish	4	3.6
Muslim	3	2.7
Pagan	0	0.0
Sikh	1	0.9
Agnostic	2	1.8
Atheist	1	0.9
Other	2	1.8
Other philosophical belief	0	0.0
Total	112	100

Are your day-to-day activities limited because of a health problem or disability which has lasted, or is expected to last, at least 12 month?	Number	%
Yes, a little	5	4.3
Yes, a lot	15	12.8
No	97	82.9
Total	117	100

Of those who answered “yes”, disabilities were as follows:

Please state the type of impairment which applies to you	Number	%⁴
Physical impairment	9	50.0
Sensory impairment	1	5.6
Learning disability/ difficulty	1	5.6
Long-standing illness	5	27.8
Mental health condition	2	11.1
Development condition	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0
Total	18	-

Are you a carer?	Number	%
Yes	7	6.1
No	108	93.9
Total	115	100

If yes to carer, do you care for	Number	%⁵
Parent	3	42.9
Child with special needs	0	0.0
Other family member	1	14.3
Partner/ spouse	1	14.3
Friend	0	0.0
Other	1	14.3
Total	6	-

⁴ % of those who answered yes to the disability question above. Respondents could choose more than one option so percentages will not add to 100%.

⁵ % of those who answered yes to the carer question above

Armed Forces Service	Yes	No
Are you currently serving in the UK Armed Forces (this includes reservists or part-time service, eg Territorial Army)?	0	108
Have you ever served in the UK Armed Forces?	8	99
Are you a member of a current or former serviceman or woman's immediate family/ household?	3	105

Appendix

6 responses were removed from reporting as they fell into the following categories:

- Duplicates (only one responses per household was included)
- Responses from residents outside the area
- No address given

33.3% of these responses were against the introduction of a parking scheme in the Wish Park area as show in the table below:

Why removed from main report	In favour of parking scheme		Not in favour of parking scheme		Total
	Number	%	Number	%	
Duplicates	2	66.7	1	33.3	3
No address given	0	0.0	1	100.0	1
Outside area	2	5.0	0	0.0	2
Total	4	66.7	2	33.3	6